## RECEIVED

OCT 1 4 2008

PATENT

Rev 02/07

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application

Pieter Theodorus Johannes Aquarius

Application No.

10/810,349

Filed

March 26, 2004

Confirmation No.

8227

For

APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR LOADING CONTAINERS

WITH BAGS

Examiner

: Michelle Lopez

Attorney's Docket

: VER-179XX

TC Art Unit: 3721

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being sent via facsimile to Examiner Michelle Lopez, TC Art Unit 3721, Fax No. (571) 273-8380, on October 14, 2008.

By

Charles L/Gaggebin Registration/No. 25,467 Attorney for Applicant

## AMENDMENT PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. \$1.116

Via Facsimile Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action dated April 11, 2008, Applicant submits the following remarks and request for reconsideration.

18/15/2888 VBUIII 88889846 238884 18818349

88 FC:2253 533,88 0A

MNYSELFTEN, SISSEMEEN, SEESENIF & SEESEFELT LEG TELL (SITE \$44-2530 288. (SITE \$53-031)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/810,349	03/26/2004	Pieter Theodorus Aquarius	VER-179XX	8227	
207 7590 11/07/2008 WEINGARTEN, SCHURGIN, GAGNEBIN & LEBOVICI LLP TEN POST OFFICE SQUARE			EXAMINER		
			LOPEZ, MICHELLE		
BOSTON, MA 02109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3721			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/07/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/810,349	AQUARIUS, PIETER THEODORUS		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michelle Lopez	3721		

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michelle Lopez	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>14 October 2008</u> . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS  3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			oadoo			
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		cted claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).			
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,10,11,13,15 and 19-22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>16-18,23</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented.  Se	l and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ea.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Rinaldi I Rada/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3721						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to the claims introduces language that changes the scope of the invention to the extent that it requires further search and considerations.